

RANCHO MURIETA

JOINT SECURITY COMMITTEE

Regular Meeting

February 28, 2019 at 6:00 p.m.

Rancho Murieta Community Services District
15160 Jackson Road, Rancho Murieta, CA 95683

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. History of Joint Security Committee**
- 3. Committee Focus and Goals/Membership**
- 4. Set Schedule/Frequency of Meetings**
- 5. Security Town Hall Meeting Follow-Up**
- 6. Other Items/Issues**
 - a. Discuss Role of Office of Emergency Services*
 - 1. Guest Speaker from Office of Emergency Services*
 - b. Evacuation Plan*
 - c. Security Impact Fee Budget*
 - d. Update on Holiday Gate Back-Up Issue*
 - 1. Correspondence – R. Gehr*
 - e. Neighborhood Watch Meeting Status*
 - f. Coffee with a Cop Reminder March 18, 6:00 p.m.*
- 7. Adjournment**

In accordance with California Government Code Section 54957.5, any writing or document that is a public record, relates to an open session agenda item and is distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting will be made available for public inspection in the District offices during normal business hours. If, however, the document is not distributed until the regular meeting to which it relates, then the document or writing will be made available to the public at the location of the meeting.

Note: This agenda is posted pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code commencing at Section 54950. The date of this posting is February 25, 2019. Posting locations are: 1) District Office; 2) Murieta Post Office; 3) Rancho Murieta Association; 4) Murieta Village Association.

Security Town Hall Meeting October 24, 2018

GROUP 1

RESPONSIBILITY

Evacuation Plan

CSD RMA CSD/RMA OTHER ¹

1	Is there an evacuation plan? If not, we need one.			X	X
2	How does Escuela Gate and Deer Creek Hills figure into an evacuation plan?		X		X
3	How would we be notified of a need to evacuation? Very important. Possibility – Greenfield			X	X
4	Should be an evacuation planning committee that includes RMA residents, Sac Metro Fire and Sac Sheriff Department			X	X
5	What triggers an evacuation?	X			X
6	Should include all of CSD's sphere of influence.	X			X
7	How does the intersection of Highway 16 and Murieta Parkway get handled when everyone is trying to use it at the same time?	X			X
8	Need to have everyone's phone numbers and email addresses (bar codes)			X	
9	Divide into zones		X		
10	Would drones be of use?			X	X

Front Gate Procedures

CSD RMA CSD/RMA OTHER

1	Bar code vs. key pad		X		
2	Gate officers need to watch for people turning left on Lago but can't because of the backup of cars going through the front gate.				
3	Visibility of gate arm (increase)			X	

4	A more formal process for checking in guests. ID (driver's license) when guests come in. (X2)			X	
5	RMCC needs to give the gate a list of names coming into play tournaments, then do driver's license check at gate.			X	X
6	Seen known vendors who violate rules chronically.			X	
7	How does gate get notified when groups have events or meetings?		X		
8	Every resident should have a bar code.			X	
9	Gate officers need to give residents/guests 24 hour unauthorized gate pass.				X
10	All residents should be asked for and give their pin code when coming in a vehicle without a bar code.			X	

Escuela Gate

		<u>CSD</u>	<u>RMA</u>	<u>CSD/RMA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
1	Need revised survey about passive vs. manned gate with illustrations and costs. Weekends vs. weekdays.		X		
2	Bar code vs. key pad		X		
3	Events at Stonehouse Park only		X		
4	Who is paying for building it and staffing it?		X		
5	Open from 3-7pm for residents only		X		

GROUP 2

Traffic Enforcement

		<u>CSD</u>	<u>RMA</u>	<u>CSD/RMA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
1	Lack of consequence in enforcement (lenient)		X		
2	Add Compliance Officer to enforcement		X		
3	Golf cart enforcement			X	
4	RMA controlled vs. tandem enforcement			X	

5	5 MPH rigid? +5? Dead even, Bottom of hill			X	
6	Not every street 25 mph		X		
7	Speed bumps – yes/no – needs public input		X		
8	No speed bumps		X		
9	More consequences for repeat violators		X		
10	Allow SSD and CHP to patrol and enforce laws		X		
11	RMA to survey residents/follow the will of residents to allow SSD and CHP to patrol and enforce laws		X		

Cameras

1	Ensuring Cameras are working.			X	
2	Portable cameras vs. fixed?			X	
3	Drones? Technology			X	
4	Are we getting more cameras?			X	

GROUP 3

Back Lakes

CSD RMA CSD/RMA OTHER

1	Litter at back lakes is a problem			X	
2	People shooting guns at back lakes	X	X		X
3	Vehicles doing donuts at back lakes			X	
4	People fishing are not releasing fish			X	
5	Non-residents are fishing With out the residents			X	
6	Not enough security presence	X			

7	Non-resident boats are coming in front gate without residents (X2)		X		
8	Boat passes are not being issued (x2)		X		
9	Gates not enforcing boat passes (X2)	X			
10	Security not enforcing boat and fishing rules	X			
11	Gate office should receive more training on these issues			X	
12	Does CSD have a plan to divert water for fires?	X			X
13	Who is responsible for clearing brush around the lakes and structures?		X		X
14	There are no gates at back lakes (X2)				X
15	Education regarding wildlife and plants, and treatment of trails		X		X
16	Use of drones with cameras to assist with security?	X			X
17	Contractors enter the community to do work for a resident, then end up fishing without permission.			X	

Neighborhood Watch

		<u>CSD</u>	<u>RMA</u>	<u>CSD/RMA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
1	Need to identify the residents who may need assistance during an evacuation by developing a CSD list with names and volunteers				X
2	Hold "national Night Out" event in August			X	X
3	Follow up on starting Neighborhood Watch program, possibly with Lisa Costello			X	X

GROUP 4

General

		<u>CSD</u>	<u>RMA</u>	<u>CSD/RMA</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
1	Who does one call – Need public education			X	X
2	Will there be a need for more security? Maybe from outside influences?			X	
3	“Can’t do” perception – change it to “Can do”			X	
	A) CSD				
4	What does Security do/can for The Villages? Security locks up club house. One patrol at night.		X		
5	The Village would like more interaction with security.	X			
6	Airport would like more CSD patrols? Cost?	X			
7	Issues with dogs.			X	X
8	Garage doors left open should get fines		X		
9	Contract security – HOA vs. CSD		X		
10	Enforcement follow up – no fines or consequences		X		
11	County Animal Control services – poor response Rattle snakes – info about anti-venom at Fire Station				X
12	Need more enforcement of CC&Rs and curfew rules			X	

¹ OTHER means outside agency/persons responsible such as: SSD, Fire, O.E.S., Animal Control, private persons, groups

<rjenco@ranchomurieta.csd.com>; Tim Maybee <TMaybee@ranchomurieta.csd.com>
Cc: editor@murieta.village.com; Suzanne Lindenfeld <slindenfeld@ranchomurieta.csd.com>
Subject: Security Committee and Backups at RM Gate

I am writing to strenuously object to proposals that are being made at CSD's Security Committee as were reported in the River Valley Times and at RanchoMurieta.com.

The periodic backup of traffic at the RMA gates is a problem and it is appropriate for CSD's security department to work with RMA to find a solution. However, as a resident of the Rancho Murieta Community Service District, I find it totally inappropriate for CSD to spend our money to solve RMA's problem. And, indeed, it's probably a violation of the California Constitution to do so.

The backup is a result of RMA's gate. The fact that the gate is staffed by CSD employees IN NO WAY creates a responsibility or obligation for CSD to fix the problem. It's RMA's gate and it's RMA's problem. If RMA wants to pay the costs of the software and hardware (the initial costs, the ongoing costs and any other incidental costs) then that would be fine and the problem might be solved. However, in no way should CSD pay any of these costs.

It would be similar to a situation where there was a pot hole in front of the gate which caused traffic to back up. It would be totally inappropriate for CSD to pay somebody to fix that pot hole. Although CSD employees are staffing the gate, it is RMA's road, it is RMA's problem, and it is RMA's responsibility to fix it. I believe it would be illegal for CSD to fix the pot hole, as a 'gift of public funds' to RMA, as prohibited by the California Constitution (Article XVI Section 6).

In summary:

- 1) It's RMA's problem; it's not CSD's problem.
- 2) It's an inappropriate use of CSD funds.
- 3) It's a violation of the California Constitution.

The proposal for CSD to pay for this software and hardware is totally inappropriate and probably illegal. If RMA is willing to pay for it, then fine; otherwise the idea should be dropped.

Thank You

Richard Gehrs